Microbattery Design and Development for Specialty Market

Problem Statement

Background

In order to achieve our goals in implementing our microbattery into microrobotics, we split into two teams:

1) **Battery Chemistry**

- Need 360-600 mW to power 200 mg micro-drone for 30s flight time
- In order to improve the microbattery, we replicated the battery chemistry in a **coin cell**
- Tested three generations of batteries, changing the binder, electrolyte, and cathode thickness
- Through testing, we discovered a **preconditioning** step that improves the rate capability (replicated in coin-cells and micro-batteries)

- proof-of-concept



Figure 1: **Microbattery** compared to a penny.



Results



Figure 7 (above): Gen 2 Discharge Results with different C rates Figure 8 (right): Microbattery 2-Step Discharge: (Top) Voltage vs. Capacity, (Bottom) Power vs. Time



Capacity (mAh





Figure 5: **Casting of** slurry for coin cell cathode

Conclusion

After successfully creating the microbatteries using a procedure developed by the PNNL, we connected with the Autonomous Insect Lab (AIR) in the UW Mechanical Engineering department at UW in order to use this microbattery in micro-robotic applications. Our team designed a ground traveling microrobot that can be powered by the microbattery. From our promising results of our two-step microbattery discharge test, we plan to implement the microbattery in micro-drones developed by the AIR lab.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Zhi Peng, Professor Jun Liu, Professor Luna Huang, and Dr. Victor Chen for their continuous support and mentorship throughout this project. We would also like to thank Johannes James, Dr. Sawyer Fuller, and the Autonomous Insect Robotics Lab for their guidance in the development of this project.

Wright Energy Institute

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING MATERIALS SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





Figure 6: Microbattery in potentiostat testing.